

Comparison of endoluminal receiver coils

based on PIN-diode, photodiodes and MEMS switches for active detuning circuits

H. Raki^{1,2}, I. Saniour¹, F. Robb³, K. Tse Ve Koon¹, H. Souchay², S. A. Lambert¹, O. Beuf¹

¹Université de Lyon; INSA-Lyon; Université de Claude Bernard Lyon 1; UJM-Saint Etienne; CNRS; Inserm; CREATIS UMR 5220; U1206; F-69100, Lyon, France; ²GE Healthcare; Buc, France; ³GE Healthcare; Aurora, Ohio, US.

Purpose

The use of endoluminal receiver coils is an attractive solution to achieve locally high spatial resolution in deep regions in the body such as colon wall¹. During the radio frequency (RF) transmit phase, the receiver coil must be decoupled (detuned) from the transmitter coil to avoid the inhomogeneity of the RF magnetic field in proximity of the receiver coil and extra noise in the image due to the mutual inductance between coils². ❌

For this purpose, several methods were proposed in literature such as active detuning based on PIN-diode or optical components³. Recently, Micro Electro-Mechanical System (MEMS) switches were introduced by GE Healthcare to fulfill this role⁴. ❌

In the following study, we compare the use of controllable MEMS switch (with different locations) to the reference (parallel PIN-diode) and optical (two photodiodes in parallel to PIN-diode) coils.

Methods & Results

Rectangular single-loop endoluminal receiver coils designs

Geometry: 47 mm x 5.1 mm x 1 mm

Serial MEMS coil vs **Parallel MEMS coil**

Cylindrical Phantom used (1.25g NiSO₄·6H₂O + 5g NaCl per liter of distilled water)

On bench

Rf characterization set-up

VNA, power (82/10V, -5/7V), MEMS, Driver circuit, Loaded receiver endoluminal coil

→ S11 frequency response

- Tuning / matching (127.73 MHz / 50 Ω)
- Quality factor Q
- Decoupling efficacy verification

Coupling/decoupling set-up

Low frequency generator, Digital oscilloscope, Driver circuit, MEMS, Receive endoluminal coil, RF signal (127.73 MHz), Circular RF transmit coil, High frequency generator, Power (10V, 82V)

→ Switching delays measurements

Bench results

Measured parameters	Reference coil (PIN-diode)	Optical coil (Photodiodes / PIN-diode)	Serial MEMS coil	Parallel MEMS coil
Loaded quality factor Q	53.00	55.00	53.87	80.00
Reflection coefficient at the resonance frequency f ₀ (dB)	-42.96	-39.33	-50.92	-23.50
Decoupling coefficient at f ₀ (dB)	-0.66	-0.70	--- *	-0.20
Switching delay to couple (μs)	0.75	13.6	6.22	3.00
Switching delay to decouple (μs)	0.25	1.70	1.32	7.20

* In decoupling mode, the loop is open and not resonating (best case)

Imaging

Imaging set-up: 3.0T MRI

→ Image quality: SNR

Imaging results

SNR of Parallel MEMS coil

Intensity distribution

SNR iso-contours

The coil orientation inside the cylindrical phantom along the B₀ field

Discussion

All coils

- Verified impedance matching
- Ensured decoupling efficacy (Close to the 0 dB)

Quality factor Q

- High Q-value for the Parallel MEMS coil (receive mode => open MEMS ⇔ Additional capacitor of about 2 pF)
- Q-value degradation for the other coils (parasitic resistance effect)

SNR

- High SNR very close to the coil (Endoluminal coil is close to the region of interest)
- SNR iso-contours of MEMS coil do not exactly overlap that of reference and optical coils (due to the electromagnetic coupling with external cables used to power the driver MEMS circuit)

Switching delays

- Controllable MEMS less faster than PIN-diode due to the driver circuit but its speed is enough (< 8 μs)

Control the loop

- Serial MEMS coil has the possibility to open/close the loop
- The other coils do not have this possibility

References:
 1. Beuf O. et al. JMRI, 2004.
 2. Edelstein W A. et al. JMR, 1985.
 3. Saniour I. et al. Biomed. Phys. Eng. Express 3, 2017.
 4. Bulumala . et al. Review of Scientific Instruments, 2017.

Contact : Hamza.Raki@creatis.insa-lyon.fr

Acknowledgments
 This work was supported by the LABEX PRIMES (ANR-11-LABX-0063) of Université de Lyon, within the program "Investissements d'avenir" (ANR-11-IDEX-0007) operated by the French National Research Agency (ANR).



Conclusion & Perspective

- Good performances of MEMS-based circuits compared to other methods
- Parallel MEMS can be used for active decoupling (25% gain of Q-value)
- Serial MEMS may be relevant to be used for reconfigurable multiple-geometry coil